Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/585,039	CANRIGHT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFFREY NICKERSON	2442
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>JEFFREY NICKERSON</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Schneck (24518)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 June 2009	Time: <u>1:45pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 22		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner Nickerson presented a proposed examiner amendment, as seen in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to replace the remaining claims. Applicant authorized the entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(11 1